Previous references
Sls 1-4 of this file

190 ✓

(Education)

File No 140 of 1903-04

Serial Nos 5-11

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 5-11

Part B for

SUBJECT

Extension of the privilege leave granted to Mr T R Venkataswamy Naidu, Assistant to the Inspector General of Education, by in Govt Notn No G 3240/kd of the 26-12-03 by one day.

1 No 5-786/203 dated the 8th January 1904 (G 2299)
From the Inspector General of Education
Informs that T R Venkataswamy Naidu entered upon his duties at Mysore on the 3rd Jan. 1904 after availing himself of the privilege leave sanctioned, the joining time and the Christmas Holidays

6 No G 3664 dated the 23rd January 1904
To the Inspector General of Education
Requests to be informed exactly when ~~he~~ from when Mr T R Venkataswamy Naidu availed himself of the leave granted ~~Holidays~~ and if from the 1st how he would explain the break of a day in the middle viz on the 23rd Dec.

2 6883/238 dated the 29th Jan 1904 (G 2513)
From the I Gl of Education

PTC

Reports that Mr D R Venkataswamy Naidu availed himself of the privilege leave from the 1st December 1903 and returned to duty on the 23rd morning after availing himself of the joining time

8 No P&A 2077 dated the 24th March 1904
To the Inspector General of Education
Requests to be informed how Mr D R Venkataswamy Naidu was entitled to joining time to return to his old station

9 No 9600/386 dated the 29th April 1904 (P&A 1592)
From the Inspector General of Education
Reports that Mr D R Venkataswamy Naidu availed himself of the privilege leave from the 1st December 1903 and returned to duty at Mysore on the 3rd January 1904 after availing himself of the leave joining time and Christmas holidays and as he exceeded the joining by one day recommends that 2 days extension of the privilege leave be granted him

10 No P&A 2927 dated the 28th June 1904
To the Compiler Mysore Gazette
Notifies that Mr D R Venkataswamy Naidu has been granted an extension of privilege leave by one day
No P&A 2928-9 dated the 28th June 1904
To the Comptroller and the Inspector General of Education
Forwards copies of the above for information
No P&A 2930-1 dated the 28th June 1904
To the Inspector General of Education and the Comptroller
Regrets to point out certain inaccuracies in his communications to Govt on the subject and observes that Mr Venkataswamy Naidus action in not having joined his new duty at Mysore on the expiry of his joining time was irregular

Office of the Dewan of Mysore

File No (if any)

Directions.

No date and purport of receipts to be entered in red and office note in black ink in order of date —To be written only on one side and inside the line of margin

Office Note.

Branch General (Education)

Subject Leave

Mr T R Venkatasawmi Naydu was granted privilege leave of absence for 15 days with effect from the 1st Dec 1903 - (vide Govt Notfn No S 3290/Ed-d 26th Dec 1903)

It is presumed that he availed himself of the leave from the 1st dec 1903

If so he was due for duty on the 16th Dec 1903

On connection with his transfer to Mysore he is entitled to 6 days' joining time that is his absence would be justified till the 21st Dec For travelling to Mysore he is entitled to one days absence ie till the 22nd Dec 1903 So he was due for duty on the 23rd Dec 1903

But it is reported that he availed himself, on continuation, of the Xmas Holidays also which

which commenced on the 24th Dec 2 19.
So there is a days break in the interval

If Mr Venkatasawme Nayudu had availed himself of the privilege from the 2d Dec 1903, there is no hitch of the sort indicated above

The Dfl letters not clear on the point

A draft to subd calling for the information

Dated 20/1/04

Docket issued (vide No G 3664/83 dated the 23rd January 1904)

The Dfl now reports that Mr T R V Nayudu availed himself of the 15 days privilege leave with effect from the 1st Dec 1903, that he returned to duty to Bangalore on the 23rd morning after availing himself of the joining time of 7 days from the 16th Dec 1903, that the Xmas holidays commenced on the 24th and that Mr Venkatasawmy Naidu rejoined his duties at Mysore on the afternoon of the 3rd January/04

Mr V Naidu was relieved of his duties as office asstt to the Dfl with effect from the 1st Dec 1903 such being the case it is not clear how he joined his duty at Bangalore on the 23rd Dec 1903

Further

Further joining time could be allowed only in the case of an officer proceeding straight to join a new appointment on transfer etc of Mr V Naidu returned to duty at Bangalore before proceeding to Mysore to join his new appointment, hors could he take joining time from the 16th Dec 1903 in continuation of the furlege leave

It is also a question whether there was any necessity for Mr V Naidu to return to duty at Bangalore on the 23rd Dec 1903

It is also submitted in this connection that the DSC first merely reported that Mr V Naidu, availed himself of the furlege leave (15 days from the 18th Dec 1903) took joining time in continuation and also availed himself of the Xmas Holidays, just as there was nothing unusual in combining the several periods of absence But on being questioned he now explains as above

Thus it will be seen that clear and right information is not given in the first statement

Submitted for orders

RCS 4/2/04

The conduct of Mr Venkatasami Naidu has been irregular, I think The P & A Branch will please

Note how much of the period required by Mr Venkatasamy Naidu is justified by rules, and how much the remaining portion should be dealt with

2/3/04

After the expiry of the joining time, an officer should join his new appointment Accordingly Mr Venkatasamy Naidu should have joined his appointment at Mysore on the 23rd Dec 1903 He has not done so But he has joined his duties at Mysore on the 3rd January 1904 He has thus exceeded his joining time by one day and, availed himself of the ~~absorbed~~ Xmas holidays ~~also~~ His case does not fall under the provisions of art 120 to 123 of the Mysore Service Regulations to entitle him to an extension of the joining time ~~His case~~ He has therefore to be dealt with ~~under~~ under the provisions of art 131 But the I G of Education ~~in~~ reports that Mr Venkatasamy Naidu

returned to duty at Bangalore on the
forenoon of the 23rd Dec 03 What
was the necessity for his returning to
Bangalore is not clear In any
case, he will not be entitled to
any joining time The period from
the 16th Dec 1903 (the date on
which he was due to duty after
the expiry of the 15 days' privilege
leave granted to him) to 23rd Dec
1903 must be treated otherwise
than as joining time It may
perhaps be treated as privilege leave
on continuation of the 15 days'
leave already granted to him,
which he is entitled according to
the Comptroller's certificate

Subs
DMS
11/3/04

The above facts being communi-
cated to the D.P.I. of Education
in Mysore in the office docket
No Pta 2077 of 24th March 1904, he
says that Mr Venkataram Naidu
was relieved of his duties before taking
leave: ~~although~~ although as such he should
have joined his new Head Quarters at
Mysore on the 23rd Dec 1903 after
availing himself of the joining time
~~allowed to him~~, he has failed to do so and
~~has~~ has

has joined his appointment at Mysore after availing himself of the journeying time and one extra day and the Xmas holidays. He recommends that the extra day may be treated as privilege leave in continuation of the 15 days' leave already granted to him

Secy
DPI
5/5/04

The correspondence in this case shows that facts have not correctly represented by the Inspector General of Education.

Flag A

In his 18th letter No 5/186/203 of 8th January 1904 Mr Bhabha said that Mr Venkatasamme Naidu who was granted 15 days privilege leave with effect from 18th December 1903 entered upon his duties at Mysore on the afternoon of the 3rd January 1904 after availing himself of the leave, joining time & the Xmas holidays, but when he was called upon to report the actual period of Mr Venkatasamme Naidu's absence,

Flag B

the DI General reported that Mr Naidu availed himself of the leave from

the 1st Dece ber 1903 & return ed to
duty to Bangalore on the 23rd n orn f
after availing himself of the fo ing
time of 7 days from the 16th Dece, a d
that as the X mas holidays co mmenced
on the 24th, he resp med his duties
at Mysore on the afternoon of the
3rd January 1904 (Sunday)

This did not however make the case
clear, as Mr Venkataswamy Naidu
was not entitled to forming time
to return to his old station (Bangalore)
for duty & when this was pointed
out Mr Bhabha none @ says that
after availing himself of the
15 days privilege leave from the
1st December & also of the forming time
& X mas holidays, Mr Naidu entered
upon his duties at Mysore on the
forenoon of the 3rd January 1904
& requests that a days extension

× Flag C

@ Docket No 9600/386 of 29th April 1904

of the privilege leave ending on the 16th December 1903 may be sanctioned to him. It is respectfully submitted that ~~[illegible]~~ such a representation of facts is highly objectionable & deserves serious notice -

As the case stands, Mr. Venkatasamma under not having joined his duties at Mysore on the forenoon of the 23rd Dec 1903 even after availing himself of the joining time, he is entitled to ~~no salary and allowances~~ no salary from the 23rd Dec 1903 to 3rd January 1904, & in fact, ceased to have a lien ~~of~~ on his appointment, under Article 131 of the Mysore Service Regulations. It is therefore a question for consideration whether this period may be treated as leave without allowances or whether ex days' extension of the privilege leave may be sanctioned to him the observation that such

misrepresentation of facts is highly objectionable &c -

Sd/ Foreman

DB

11/5/04

The extension of the privilege leave by one day may be sanctioned, but the irregularities noticed should be pointed out

Please put up a draft and let me see it

Sd/ Ramachandra Iyer

31/5/04

To P&A

2 Drafts Submitted to the Dewan through the 1st Cr

DMB 7/6/04

Ll 8/6/04

1571/4-6-04

Mr Venkatarami Raidu was under orders of transfer to Mysore when after availing himself of privilege leave from 16th December/03 he took 15 days' privilege leave - He joined his duty at Mysore in the afternoon of 3/1/04 - In addition to the privilege leave he seems entitled to joining & preparation time & Christmas Holidays. Even after making allowance for these he seems to have exceeded his leave by one day - The Dist reports were not sufficiently clear & hence all this correspondence - To the order proposed by the Secy I have no objec.

tion best before saying it. I would state the case to the Comptroller & call for an express. - tion of his opinion. H

10/6/04

HL 10/6/04

18305/13 6 04

1903

If there is no doubt - the section does not say he has a right necessary? I would vote that under the delay only omitting the words as a special case the proposed words I commend

HLS

13 VI 04

RL/15 6 04 Submitted to the 1st Lt HL 14/6/04. I am

I have no objection H

16/6/04

Please correct & show me the draft

HL

16/6/04 note, 17/6 HL

Issued HL

16/6/04

Edn 140 of 1903-04
Serial 5

Edn 81 J G 2299

No 5786/103

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE
Bangalore 8th January 1904.

From

H J BHABHA Esq Mra,
Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

H V Nanjundayya Esq, Ma, Bl,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to Notification No. G 3290/Ed dated 26th December 1903 sanctioning 15 days' privilege leave for Mr. T R. Venkataswamy Nayudu B A, Assistant to the Inspector General of Education with effect from 1st December 1903, I have the honour to inform you that he entered upon his duties at Mysore on the afternoon of the 3rd Instant, after availing himself of the leave sanctioned in the above notification, journeying time and the Xmas holidays

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H J Bhabha
Inspector General

Edn 1460 of 1903-04
Serial 6

Office Draft (Docket)

Mysore Government
General & Revenue Secretariat

To

The Dyl of Education
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft 20th January 04
Date of despatch 23/1/04

Rec'd No G3664 Ga
File No & date (if any)

No of Encls

His letter No 5786/203 dated the 8th January 1904 reporting that Mr T. R Venkataramana Naidu entered upon his duties at Mysore on the 3rd ~~Dec 1903~~ January 1904 after availing himself of the privilege leave sanctd in his favour in Govt Order No 3290/Ed dated the 26th Dec 1903, of the allowed time (and the Xmas Holidays

has the honour to observe that the above letter does not expressly say

3

When Mr T. R Venkata
Lawma sahib availed
himself of the privilege
leave of 15 days referred
to Babaie, to the letter from the
1st Dec 1903 or from
a subsequent date of
the former there will be
a break of a day, i.e on the 23rd Dec 1903
the total period of his
absence which cannot
~~be~~ be accounted
for Requests ~~that~~
~~that~~ that detailed inform
-ation may be furnished
to justify the total period
of absence

By order

20/1/04

Hon Secretary

Edn 140 of 1903-04 G 25-13
Serial 7

G/E"
1 2 04

Edn 78]

From

H J BHABHA, Esq; M A
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION
IN MYSORE

To H V Ranganayya Esq Majr
Secretary to the Govt of H Exs
General & Rev Depts
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched 29th January 1904

Received

General No 6883
No 238

Enclosures

With reference to this docket
No 3664 Ed dated 23rd
January 1904, I have the honour
to inform that Mr T R
Venkataswamy Nayudu
availed himself of the 15
days privilege leave from
the 1st December 1903. He
returned to duty to Bangal
on the 23rd morning after
availing himself of the
joining time of 7 days
from the 16th December
The Xmas holidays com
menced on the 24th
Mr Venkataswamy Nayudu

resumed his duties at Mysore on the afternoon of th
3rd Instant.

HJ Bhabha
Inspector General -
BVR

Edn 1408 P 1903-04
Serial 8

To

The Dy Dt of Education
in Mysore

No PVA 2017

Dy 24th March 1904

Hrs docket No 688/238

dd 29th Jany 1904

New dacca at
Sir. what time
cancelled read &
recovered 1st
per the circ
for 31/1/04

With refce to the
above, has the honor
to request to be informed ~~to state that it is and~~
~~reported that~~ when Mr. T R
Venkatasami Naidu
who, was under orders
of transfer, to Mysore ~~died who~~
was not relieved of his
duties ~~as Dept to the~~
~~Dy Dt of Education~~ ~~ordered~~
at Bangalore, for duty
on the forenoon of the
23rd Dec 1903 after
availing himself of
the 15 days' privilege
leave granted to him
and the joining time
to it is not clear of
what duty he rel.
~~the circs are~~
~~brought to notice, I~~
~~Venkatasami~~

before he availed himself of the 15 days privilege leave if not how he is entitled to joining time to return to his old station If he had been relieved of his duties at Bangalore before taking leave he would be entitled to joining time proceeded he joined his new Hd Quarters at once

~~After~~ ~~consider~~

~~the Vocational Branch~~
~~to not be entitled to the~~
~~joining time granted~~
~~of by him the~~ the D of E
Education is ~~therefore~~
expected to be good
enough to report as to
how he used ~~the~~ the
treat the period of 7 days
granted by him as joining time

B O

14 3 1904

~~For~~ Secy

WMH
12/3/04

WD
12/3/04

Fair draft

To The I Gl of Education
in Mysore

No Sta 2077
Dy 24th ~~May~~ March 1904

Stes docket No 6883/230
dated the 29th January 1904

With reference to the above, has the honour to request to be informed whether Mr T R Venkata-Sama Naidu who was under orders of transfer to Mysore, was not relieved of his duties at Bangalore before he availed himself of the 15 days' privilege leave and if not, how he is entitled to joining time to return to his old station If he had been relieved of his duties at Bangalore before taking leave, he would then have been entitled to joining time provided he joined his new Head Quarters at Mysore.

The I Gl of Education
is requested to be good
enough to report as
to how he would treat
the period of 7 days —
worked off by him as
joining time

B 'o

(Lt.) B.K.V

Secretary

Edn 140 of 1903-04 Serial 9

PA 1572 10

Edn 181

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1903 — 1904
2 MAY 1904
GENERAL SECRETARIAT

From

H J BHABHA, L.C.E.
Inspector General of Education
in Mysore

To

L Ananthasa u Rao Esqr B A
Offg Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Girls Rer Department
Bangalore

Dated 29 4 1904

Despatched

Received

General No 9600
No 386

Enclosures

With reference to the Secretarys docket No Dia 2077 of 24 3 04, has the honour to infor u that Mr I R Venkatavaradaiyangar B A having been relieved of his duties at Bangalore on the forenoon of the 1st December, availed himself of the 15 days' privilege leave sanctioned for him in Government Notification No G 3290 ed dated 26th December 1903 from the same date He entered upon his duties as Assistant to the Inspector General at Mysore on the forenoon of the 3rd January 1904 after availing himself of the joining time and the Xmas

holidays As he has exceeded the joining time by one day it is requested that a days extension of privilege leave i-e on the 16th December 1903 may be kindly sanctioned for him

H J Bhabha
Inspector General
Bre

Edn 1440 of 1903/04 Sept 10 2 Pts 12
No PLA 2927 Nots
dt 28/6/04

The privilege leave of absence for 15 days granted to Mr T R Venkatarama Naidu Asst to the I Gl of Education in Mysore with effect from 1st Decr 1903, in Govt Nots No 4 3290 dated 26th Decr 1903 is hereby extended by one day

B C

Dewan's Orders
18/6/04
offg Secy

7/6/04
To The Compiler for Gazette for publication

Copy to the Comptroller
Copy to the I Gl of Education in Mysore

B C

18/6
offg Secy

No PLA 2929 dt 28/6/04
No PLA 2929 dt 28/6/04

DMR
7/6/04

7-6-04

Edn 460 of 1903 & 4 to
Serial 11
Read —

correspondence ending with the docket No 9600/386 dated 29th April 04 from the Inspector General of Education in Mysore regarding the treatment of ~~the period subsequent to~~ one day by which Mr T R Venkatasami Naidu ~~returned to duty~~ exceeded the ~~term~~ of the 15 days' privilege leave granted to him in Notification No G 3290 d 26th Dec 1903 ~~to him~~ and the ~~journey~~ two days by which he was entitled on his transfer from ~~Bangalore~~ Mysore to Bangalore ~~where he entered upon his duties on the forenoon~~ he entered upon his duties on the afternoon of the 3rd January 1904, after availing himself of the Xmas holidays,

Order thereon No Ms 2930/1 dt 28/6/04

In his letter No 5786/203 dt 8th January 1904, the I Gl of Education reported that Mr T R Venkatasami Naidu who was granted 15 days privilege leave with effect from 1st Dec 1903, entered upon

upon his duties at Mysore on the afternoon of the 3rd Jany 04 after availing himself of the leave ~~the~~ joining time and the Xmas holidays, but when he was called upon to report the actual period of Mr Venkatasami Naidu's absence, ~~the I Gl reported~~ he stated in his ~~letter~~ dtd No 6883/238 d 29th Jany 1904 that Mr Venkatsami Naidu availed himself of the leave from the 1st Dec 03 and returned to duty at Bangalore on the 23rd morning after availing himself of the joining time of 7 days from the 16th Dec 03 and that as the Xmas holidays commenced on the 24th, he rejoined his duties at Mysore on the ~~forenoon~~ afternoon of the 3rd Jany 04 This, however did not make the case clear as Mr Venkat-sami Naidu was not entitled to joining time to return to his old station (Bangalore) for duty and when this was pointed out, the I Gl ~~no~~ now says that after availing himself of the ~~1500~~ 15 days' ~~fo~~ privilege leave from the 1st Dec and also of the joining time and Xmas holidays

holidays, Mr Venkatarama 15
Naidu entered upon his
duties at Mysore on the
forenoon of the 3rd Jany
1904 and requests that
a day's extension of the
privilege leave ce on the
16th Decr 1903 may be
sanctioned to him. ~~Govt~~
Govt regret to notice
the inaccuracies in the
communications to Govt
~~as~~ pointed out above and
observe that Mr Venkat-
-arama Naidus action
in not having joined
his new duties at
Mysore on the expiry
of his joining time was
irregular. ~~However~~
The extension of the
privilege leave, ~~accom-~~
~~-panied by the I Gl,~~
however
is sanctioned ~~as an~~
~~special case~~ on the
recommendation of the Dy G of Education

18/6/04
Offg Secy

Dy No
7/6/04
Mulk Nabob
To The I Gl of Education with Mysore
The Comptroller in Mysore